Really, Is Einstein Wrong? - An Opinion

J. Ladvánszky

Ericsson Hungary Budapest, Hungary Janos.Ladvanszky@ericsson.com

Abstract— Recently, a paper appeared about the biggest quantum communication experiment in 2018 saying that Einstein is wrong [1]. The opinion of the author is opposite. Instead, it is suggested saying that quantum entanglement is beyond the limits of validity in which Einstein worked.

Keywords—quantum entanglement; photon; degrees of freedom; limits of validity

I. INTRODUCTION

Goal of this paper is to point out that the word "error" should be replaced by a more precise expression when limits of validity are considered.

We start with a paper that concludes as Einstein is wrong [1]. The paper describes the biggest quantum communication experiment in 2018. The experiment is a Bell test on photons. Entangled particles are generated, separated and sent to different places. Then they are measured, and the match between measurements is investigated. If there is a match, that means entanglement exists, and it is against the locality principle. Conclusion of the experiment is "The observed correlations strongly contradict local realism" [3].

Einstein's phrase was "Spooky action at a distance" [2]. That means he never accepted non-local interactions. His explanation is that an effect should spread gradually in spacetime. As entanglement is not such, one can think he is not right. But please look at that problem more closely.

II. DISCUSSION

This latter thought leads to the possibility: Instead of saying that negative opinion about spooky action at a distance, an explanation may exist if degrees of freedom are investigated more closely.

For exploiting this possibility, two papers are mentioned [5,6].

In the first one [5], the authors state that entanglement can be explained if we assume more spatial dimensions in addition to the three observables. If two things are far from each other in three spatial dimensions, they can be near to each other in higher dimensions. Thus, within this new perspective, words "local" and "global" are meaningless. This approach completely removes the accuse of fault and replaces that with broader limits of validity.

In the second paper [6] the statement is even stronger, that is, entanglement is independent of space

and time. That means entanglement is observable in 3 spatial and 1 time dimensions, but its essence is beyond that.

Finally, to show that the mentioned papers are not isolated ones, we add five more papers dealing with the same problem [7-11].

III. CONCLUSIONS

Quantum entanglement exceeds the 3 spatial and 1 time dimensional World. Therefore, we cannot say Einstein is wrong, who worked all his time in 3 spatial and 1 time dimensions. In addition, recently such papers appear that enumerate his assumed faults. The opinion of the author is that instead, we must appreciate even more that he contributed to physics. For those who still like finding errors in other's work, the author suggests that ancient Latin says: Errare humanum est.

IV. ACKNOWLEDGMENT

This research was supported by the National Research Development and Innovation Office of Hungary within the Quantum Technology National Excellence Program (Project No. 2017-1.2.1-NKP-2017-00001).

REFERENCES

- [1] Ashley Hamer: "The World's Biggest Quantum Entanglement Experiment Proved Einstein Wrong", https://curiosity.com/topics/the-worlds-biggest-quantum-entanglement-experiment-proved-einstein-wrong-curiosity/
- [2] Einstein's "Spooky Action at a Distance" Paradox Older Than Thought MIT Technology Review, https://www.technologyreview.com/s/427174/einsteins

-spooky-action-at-a-distance-paradox-older-thanthought/

- [3] "Challenging local realism with human choices", Nature, 09 May 2018, https://www.nature.com/articles/s41586-018-0085-3
- [4] Schrödinger, Erwin: "Die gegenwärtige Situation in der Quantenmechanik (The present situation in quantum mechanics)", Naturwissenschaften 23 (49), 807-812, 1935. November 1, DOI:10.1007/BF01491891
- [5] Axel Dietrich & Willem Been: "An Extra Dimensional Approach of Entanglement", https://arxiv.org/ftp/quant-ph/papers/0307/0307117.pdf

- [6] Anton Zeilinger: "Quantum Entanglement Is Time", Independent Of Space And https://www.edge.org/response-detail/26790)
- [7] Guillaume AUBRUN: "Quantum Entanglement dimensions", August 13, https://lmb.univ-fcomte.fr/IMG/pdf/aubrun-lecturenotes-august2015.pdf
- [8] K. Douhou and S-E. Ennadif: "Quantum Entanglement Through Hidden Dimensions". Advanced Studies in Theoretical Physics Vol. 13, 2019. 2, 67 http://www.mno. - 72, hikari.com/astp/astp2019/astp1-4-2019/p/ennadifiASTP1-4-2019.pdf
- [9] Lisa Grossman: "String theory finally does something useful", Science, 09.02.10, https://www.wired.com/2010/09/stringy-guantum/
- [10] "Emergence of Spacetime –Built by Quantum Entanglement", The Daily Galaxy via University of Tokyo, https://dailygalaxy.com/2015/05/emergence-ofspacetime-built-by-quantum-entanglement/
- [11] Dan Hooper: "What Einstein got wrong", Published by: The great courses, Corporate Headquarters, 4840 Westfields Boulevard, Suite 500, www.thegreatcourses.com



Dr. János Ladvánszky received his MSc in electrical engineering from the Budapest University of Technology in 1978 (Modelling of a microwave transistor), PhD in circuit theory from the Hungarian Academy of Sciences in 1988 (Nonlinear, microwave circuit design), and he is just before the DSc degree in photonics at the Hungarian

Academy of sciences (Integrated systems for optical communications). In 2018, he achieved the title of World champion in a competition of writing scientific papers in electrical engineering. His present topic is quantum communications. He is with Ericsson Hungary, and he is a member of IEEE.