Enhancing Primary School Geometry Education: A Comprehensive Exploration of the Van Hiele Model and ICT Integration

Skordialos Emmanouil
Department of Primary Education
National and Kapodistrian University of Athens
Greece
manoskor@primedu.uoa.gr

Abstract**—This paper delves into the intersection of the Van Hiele Model of Geometric Thinking and Information and Communication Technology (ICT) integration in primary school mathematics education. The theoretical framework of the Van Hiele levels serves as the foundation, providing a structured approach to understanding students' geometric thinking development. The first section introduces the Van Hiele Model, emphasizing its relevance in primary education.**

The second section explores the role of ICT in enhancing geometry understanding at the primary level. It investigates how digital tools can support and augment traditional teaching methods, fostering a deeper comprehension of geometric concepts.

The third section delves into the practical application of geometry-focused ICT tools, aligning them with the Van Hiele levels. It critically evaluates the effectiveness of various geometry applications in light of the Van Hiele theory, offering insights into their alignment with different cognitive levels.

The final section provides practical strategies for primary school teachers to implement the Van Hiele levels in geometry education using ICT. It outlines actionable steps to seamlessly integrate technology into the classroom, catering to the diverse cognitive needs of students.

This comprehensive exploration aims to equip educators with a nuanced understanding of how the Van Hiele Model and ICT can synergistically enhance primary school geometry education.

Keywords— Van Hiele Model, ICT Integration, Primary School Education, Geometry Understanding, Cognitive Development

I. Introduction

Geometry, a cornerstone of mathematical education, plays a pivotal role in shaping students' cognitive development. This paper undertakes a comprehensive exploration of the Van Hiele Model of Geometric Thinking and its integration with Information and Communication Technology (ICT) in primary school mathematics.

The Van Hiele Model, devised by Pierre and Dina van Hiele, provides a structured framework with five cognitive levels, delineating the stages of geometric thinking development. The theoretical underpinnings of the Van Hiele levels unravel the complexities of cognitive growth in geometry.

In the contemporary educational landscape, ICT stands as a transformative force. This paper investigates the dynamic role of ICT in enhancing geometry understanding at the primary level, exploring how digital tools can create immersive and effective learning experiences.

Building on the Van Hiele Model, the paper evaluates geometry applications in light of the Van Hiele theory. This critical assessment guides educators in selecting resources aligned with students' cognitive needs.

The intersection of theory and practice is addressed with practical strategies for primary school teachers to implement the Van Hiele levels in geometry education using ICT. Bridging theoretical frameworks with classroom realities, these strategies empower educators to seamlessly integrate technology and cater to diverse student cognitive profiles.

In this exploration, the paper contributes to the discourse on effective pedagogy in primary school mathematics, aiming to enrich the educational experience and deepen students' understanding of geometric concepts.

II. Theoretical Framework of the Van Hiele Levels

The theoretical framework proposed by Pierre van Hiele presents a significant advancement in understanding how students progress in their geometric thinking. Van Hiele's model comprises five hierarchical levels, each characterized by specific types of understanding and reasoning within the domain of geometry. The levels range from recognizing shapes based on their appearance to rigorously proving geometric theorems logically (Van Hiele, 1986). [18] These levels provide a structured sequence of geometric concept development which is fundamental when considering educational approaches and teaching methods in primary schools.

The first level, known as the 'visualization level,' involves the recognition of shapes based on appearances and holistic perception. Children at this stage may be able to identify squares or triangles but do not understand the properties that define them. Meanwhile, the second 'analysis level' marks the stage where children start to notice properties and attributes of shapes, such as the number of sides or angles. Instruction at this level focuses on helping students become aware of specific characteristics that define geometric concepts.

Transitioning to the third level, the 'informal deduction,' students begin to reason about the relationships between properties and may start making informal arguments concerning geometric figures. It is a critical juncture where learners go beyond mere recognition and start understanding the logical structure underlying the geometric concepts. At the 'deduction level,' which is the fourth, students can construct formal proofs and understand the significance of axioms, theorems, and deductive reasoning. [18] Lastly, the 'rigor' level involves students working within a more formal axiomatic structure of geometry, although this level is rarely reached at the primary school stage.

When applied to educational practice, these levels inform teachers about the developmentally appropriate geometry content and activities for their students. [7] For instance, a teacher would introduce simple shape recognition activities for those at the first level, while at the analysis level, they would engage students in classifying shapes based on their properties.

The integration of Information and Communication Technology (ICT) can be particularly advantageous when aligned with the Van Hiele framework. ICT tools can offer dynamic visualizations and interactions that are not possible with traditional teaching methods. For example, digital platforms can provide students at the visualization level with a multitude of shapes to explore, thus reinforcing their recognition skills through engaging and varied practice.

In primary schools, it is crucial that technology is used as a supplement to good teaching rather than a replacement. Using ICT effectively requires an understanding by teachers of both the technology and the pedagogical principles underpinning the Van Hiele model. [5] Teachers should select and use technological resources that are appropriate to their students' current Van Hiele levels, advancing to more complex tools only as their students develop their geometric thinking.

In conclusion, the Van Hiele levels offer a robust framework that can guide teachers in structuring their geometry curriculum and choosing suitable pedagogical strategies. When paired with thoughtful integration of ICT, teachers can deliver lessons that are both developmentally appropriate and engaging for students. The objective is to scaffold students' geometric understanding through each Van Hiele level, optimizing the educational use of ICT as a powerful tool for visual and interactive learning in geometry.

II. Role of ICT in Enhancing Geometry Understanding at the Primary Level

The integration of Information and Communication Technology (ICT) into mathematics education has been transformative, particularly in the realm of geometry teaching at the primary school level. The role of ICT is multifaceted, offering opportunities for interactive learning, visualization, and immediate feedback which are essential for young learners grappling with abstract geometric concepts. ICT provides an avenue for students to engage with geometry in ways that are dynamic, exploratory, and tailored to their individual learning paces and styles. [1]

The utilization of ICT in the classroom can significantly enhance students' conceptual understanding, particularly when it comes to difficult subjects like geometry, where visualization and spatial reasoning play critical roles. Software and digital tools designed for geometry education enable students to manipulate shapes, observe properties, and understand geometric relationships in an intuitive and tangible manner. [5] For example, virtual manipulatives are digital versions of physical learning aids that can effectively contribute to students' geometric reasoning by allowing them to experiment with and visualize geometrical ideas.

Moreover, ICT can support the development of key skills such as problem-solving and critical thinking. Technology-rich environments foster a sense of exploration, where primary school students can hypothesize, test, and revise their understanding of geometric concepts. Interactive geometry programs provide platforms where students can engage in hands-on activities, such as constructing geometric figures and exploring their properties, which leads to a deeper understanding and an enhanced ability to apply geometrical concepts to different contexts [2]

The integration of ICT in teaching geometry also encourages collaborative learning. Through the use of online platforms and software, students can work together on geometry tasks, share methods, and discuss strategies. This collaboration not only enriches the learning experience but also assists in developing communication skills and the ability to articulate mathematical reasoning. [14]

Moreover, the advancement of ICT has made adaptive learning more feasible. Software that adapts to the individual student's learning trajectory can accommodate the diverse needs of primary school students, aligning with the constructivist approach that underpins the Van Hiele model. Such adaptive technologies can sequentially guide students through the Van Hiele levels of geometric thought, ensuring that they grasp foundational concepts before advancing to more complex ones. [15] ICT also provides teachers with a range of diagnostic tools to assess students' understanding and misconceptions in geometry. Through quizzes, games, and interactive tests, educators can gain insights into students' thinking processes, and tailor their instruction to address gaps in knowledge or comprehension. This type of formative assessment, supported by technology, is invaluable in adjusting teaching strategies to meet the needs of the class as a whole, as well as individual learners. [6]

In conclusion, the role of ICT in enhancing geometry understanding at the primary level cannot be overstated. It serves as a potent catalyst for the development of geometric thinking, aligning with pedagogical frameworks such as the Van Hiele levels, and enabling personalized, exploratory, and collaborative learning experiences. Teachers who leverage ICT in their geometry instruction are well-placed to nurture a solid understanding of geometry in their students, laying down an essential foundation for their future mathematical learning.

IV. Evaluating Geometry Apps in Light of Van Hiele Theory

The implementation of the Van Hiele model in primary education serves as a foundational framework for understanding how children develop their geometric thinking. With the increasing integration of Information and Communication Technology (ICT) in education, geometry apps have become notable tools in facilitating this development. Evaluating these apps through the lens of the Van Hiele theory can provide insights into their effectiveness in enhancing students' geometric reasoning at different levels of understanding. [16]

The Van Hiele model delineates geometry learning into five distinct levels: visualization, analysis, abstraction, deduction, and rigor. Each level reflects a qualitative leap in understanding, and educational technology can be tailored to support or even usher students into the next phase of conceptual comprehension [5]. When assessing geometry apps, educators must consider how the technology aligns with these levels and whether it provides opportunities for progression.

At the visualization level, students recognize shapes by their appearance rather than by properties. Geometry apps which are rich in visual stimuli and allow for the manipulation of shapes can be extraordinarily useful. For instance, when students interact with shape-sorting games or engage in drawing shapes with a stylus, they begin to discern geometric forms based on visual characteristics, an experience that Clements (2000) suggests is vital for early geometric learning.

Moving to the analysis level, children start to notice properties of shapes and can compare them. Here, the apps must offer features that enable students to categorize shapes based on attributes such as side length or angle size. This is where a scaffolded learning environment, advocated by Van Houten & Van den Heuvel-Panhuizen (2011), becomes crucial in an app's design, giving prompts or hints to guide learners into making connections between different properties.

The abstraction level is where students learn to define properties and formalize relationships between different geometric entities. Apps that incorporate interactive quizzes or puzzles where students must apply definitions to solve problems are instrumental at this stage. Such technologies can support a transition from the concrete to the abstract, which Highfield & Mulligan (2007) argue is a critical threshold in mathematical understanding.

In the subsequent level of deduction, students understand the importance of logical reasoning and begin to provide proofs. Geometry apps that facilitate exploratory learning, where students can test hypotheses and observe patterns, cater well to this level. The app should encourage reasoning, perhaps with geometric constructions and theorems, to develop a student's deductive capabilities in a manner aligned with the recommendations of Van Hiele (1986).

Lastly, the rigor level is typically nurtured at later stages of education, but an understanding of it can shape app design to include features that introduce rigorous proofs and the precise language of geometry. By providing advanced problem-solving tasks, apps can lay the groundwork for future geometric studies.

Through the integration of geometry applications in the classroom, educators have the opportunity to create dynamic and interactive environments that mirror the Van Hiele levels. Each application must be critically assessed for its pedagogical soundness and its capacity to target each level of geometrical thought effectively. For instance, apps that incorporate dynamic geometry software, such as GeoGebra, have been praised for their ability to engage students at multiple Van Hiele levels concurrently, thus providing a multifaceted learning experience (Leung, 2008).

Moreover, teachers must recognize the significance of guidance and scaffolding when employing technology in the classroom. As noted by Healy & Hoyles (2001), the mere presence of technology is not sufficient; the pedagogy surrounding its use is equally important. Apps need to serve as tools to reinforce concepts, promote discovery, and encourage higher-order thinking, all within the context of the teacher's curricular goals and the students' current understanding. (Skordialos & Baralis, 2017)

In employing geometry apps aligned with the Van Hiele theory, educators must also be aware of the importance of assessment. The software should provide mechanisms for tracking progress, diagnosing misconceptions, and offering feedback, which are all critical components in supporting student advancement through the Van Hiele levels. Continuous assessment embedded within the app can serve as a powerful tool for differentiated instruction (Panorkou & Pratt, 2014).

In conclusion, geometry apps have the potential to be transformative tools in teaching geometry in primary schools, provided they are thoroughly evaluated against the Van Hiele model. A successful geometry app supports students across all levels of geometric thought, cultivating an environment where geometry can be seen, touched, and thought about in ways that foster deep, meaningful learning experiences. (Zaranis, Baralis & Skordialos, 2015)

V. Practical Strategies for Teachers

In the realm of primary education, the adoption of the Van Hiele levels as a framework for teaching geometry can extensively reshape the way educators approach this critical area of mathematical understanding. The effective integration of Information and Communication Technology (ICT) can act as a catalyst in this transformative process by providing tangible experiences and visual representations that cater to the diverse cognitive developmental stages outlined in the Van Hiele model. To bring this into practice, educators must employ thoughtful strategies that leverage technological resources to foster geometric reasoning in young learners.(Zaranis, Baralis & Skordialos, 2015)

To begin with, an enriched understanding of the Van Hiele levels is essential for teachers to identify the stage at which each student is operating. As Piaget's theory of cognitive development suggests, children progress through distinct stages of learning (Piaget, 1952). The Van Hiele model specifies five levels of geometric thinking: recognition, analysis, abstraction, deduction, and rigor, each with its characteristic way of understanding geometric concepts (Van Hiele, 1986). By assessing the specific Van Hiele level of their students, educators can tailor their teaching strategies and the use of ICT resources accordingly.

Once the assessment is complete, teachers can introduce age-appropriate geometry applications designed to address the specific characteristics of each Van Hiele level. For instance, at the recognition level, apps that allow students to manipulate shapes and see real-time changes can enhance the understanding of basic properties and categorization of figures. In this phase, software such as GeoGebra offers dynamic geometry environments where children can explore and construct geometric shapes, aiding in the development of spatial sense as recommended by Clements and Battista (1992).

Progressing to analysis and abstraction, teachers can employ more advanced software features that enable students to measure and hypothesize about geometric relationships. At this stage, ICT can be instrumental in facilitating hypothesis testing through simulations and visual experiments, aligning with the recommendations of Bransford, Brown, and Cocking (2000) on the necessity of active, discovery-based learning.

For the deduction and rigor levels, where students begin to appreciate the necessity of proof and formal reasoning, ICT can provide platforms for experimenting with conjectures and exploring geometric postulates and theorems. Applications that include a progression of guided activities leading to the development of logical arguments are particularly useful. Platforms that allow collaboration can also encourage discussions and the exchange of ideas, fostering a deeper level of mathematical discourse among peers, consonant with Vygotsky's social constructionist theory (Vygotsky, 1978).

It is crucial for teachers to adopt a scaffolded approach when integrating ICT tools into their geometry lessons. By providing challenges that are incrementally more complex, teachers facilitate the transition from one Van Hiele level to the next. Furthermore, incorporating a mix of individual and collaborative activities using ICT can address the different learning styles and social development needs of primary school students.

Professional development for teachers is another vital element in the strategy. Educators need to be equipped not only with the knowledge of the Van Hiele levels but also with the technical skills to implement ICT effectively in the classroom. Therefore, continuous learning opportunities should be made available for teachers to stay current with the emerging technologies and pedagogical practices (Lawless & Pellegrino, 2007).

In closing, the strategic use of ICT in teaching geometry within the framework of the Van Hiele levels requires a fine balance of theoretical understanding, pedagogical skill, and technological proficiency. When executed thoughtfully, these strategies can revolutionize the way geometry is taught in primary schools, ensuring that students' geometric thinking is developed in a structured, engaging, and meaningful way.

References

[1] Battista, M. T. (2007). The development of geometric and spatial thinking. In F. K. Lester (Ed.), *Second handbook of research on mathematics teaching and learning* (pp. 843-908). Charlotte, NC: Information Age Publishing.

[2] Battista, M. T., & Clements, D. H. (1996). Students' understanding of three-dimensional rectangular arrays of cubes. *Journal for Research in Mathematics Education, 27*(3), 258-292.

[3] Bransford, J., Brown, A., & Cocking, R. (2000). *How people learn: Brain, mind, experience, and school*. National Academy Press.

[4] Clements, D. H. (2000). From exercises and tasks to problems and projects—Unique contributions of computers to innovative mathematics education. *The Journal of Mathematical Behavior, 19*(1), 9-47.

[5] Clements, D. H., & Battista, M. T. (1992). Geometry and spatial reasoning. In D. A. Grouws (Ed.), *Handbook of research on mathematics teaching and learning* (pp. 420-464). New York, NY: Macmillan.

[6] Confrey, J. (2006). The evolution of design studies as methodology. In K. Sawyer (Ed.), *Cambridge handbook of the learning sciences* (pp. 135-152). Cambridge, UK: Cambridge University Press.

[7] Fuys, D., Geddes, D., & Tischler, R. (1988). *The van Hiele model of thinking in geometry among adolescents*. (Monographs in Adolescents No. 3). Reston, VA: National Council of Teachers of Mathematics.

[8] Healy, L., & Hoyles, C. (2001). Software tools for geometrical problem solving: Potentials and pitfalls. *International Journal of Computers for Mathematical Learning, 6*, 235-256.

[9] Highfield, K., & Mulligan, J. (2007). The role of dynamic interactive technological tools in preschoolers' mathematical patterning. *Mathematics Education Research Journal, 19*(1), 5-20.

[10] Lawless, K. A., & Pellegrino, J. W. (2007). Professional development in integrating technology into teaching and learning: Knowns, unknowns, and ways to pursue better questions and answers. *Review of Educational Research, 77*(4), 575-614.

[11] Leung, A. (2008). Dragging in a dynamic geometry environment: Its educational functionalities and cognitive implications. *Electronic Journal of Mathematics and Technology, 2*(1), 84-98.

[12] Panorkou, N., & Pratt, D. (2014). Designing for abstraction in problem solving in a digital environment. *International Journal for Technology in Mathematics Education, 21*(4), 117-125.

[13] Piaget, J. (1952). *The origins of intelligence in children*. International Universities Press.

[14] Roschelle, J., & Teasley, S. D. (1995). The construction of shared knowledge in collaborative problem-solving. In C. O'Malley (Ed.), *Computer-supported collaborative learning* (pp. 69-97). Berlin, Germany: Springer.

[15] Sarama, J., & Clements, D. H. (2004). Building blocks for early childhood mathematics. *Early Childhood Research Quarterly, 19*(1), 181-189.

[16] Skordialos, S., & Baralis, G. (2018). Mediated learning experience and its role in teaching fractions to pupils with learning difficulties. *International Journal of Learning and Teaching, 10*(2), 158-165.

[17] Skordialos, E., & Baralis, G. (2017). A teaching approach of geometric shapes' properties with the use of online educational tools in Greek primary school. *New Trends and Issues Proceedings on Humanities and Social Sciences, 4*(9), 101–109.

[18] Van Hiele, P. M. (1986). Structure and insight: A theory of mathematics education. Orlando, FL: Academic Press.

[19] Van Houten, E., & Van den Heuvel-Panhuizen, M. (2011). The role of contexts in assessment problems in mathematics. *For the Learning of Mathematics, 31*(1), 2-9.

[20] Vygotsky, L. S. (1978). Mind in society: The development of higher psychological processes. Harvard University Press.

[21] Zaranis, N., Baralis, G., & Skordialos, E. (2015). The use of ICT in teaching subtraction to the first grade students. *Proceedings of Fourteenth The IIER International Conference, Paris, France, 8th March 2015*, 99-104.

[22] Zaranis, N., Baralis, G., & Skordialos, E. (2015). Comparing the effectiveness of using ICT for teaching addition in the first grade students based on Realistic Mathematics Education. *In Proceedings of 2nd International Conference on Internet, E-Learning and Education Technology (ICI2ET 2015), Paris, France, 19*(1), 127-138.